
# FBF EXPERIENCE

Post-event analysis: Forecast-based Financing











CLIMATE CENTRE

# PILOT TEST

4 villages






# TRIGGER: NOV 2015









# **FLOODS**

Floodwaters were observed by satellites in the intervention region by November 19th.






### EVALUATION RESULTS

4 pilot villages 2 nearby villages


100% of households surveyed in this region said they experienced a flood

#### Impact on homes





# WATER



## **PURIFICATION**

#### Drank unpurified water

FbF recipients
5%
Comparison
52%

#### Purified with tabs










## DIARRHOEA

FbF recipients



Yes (75%) No (25%)

Comparison



Yes (85%) No (15%)







## HOES

**Dug Trenches** 

Visible trenches (March)






Everyone said they dug trenches

### FLOOD DAMAGE

Field totally damaged

House totally damaged





The FbF group reported significantly less damage to their houses and fields.

### FOOD STORAGE BAGS

Possessed Storage Bags



Revise the SOPs to consider processes leading to post-harvest food handling

The FbF group said they used the food storage bags they were given, while the others said they purchased bags themselves.

### CONCLUSION

Which actions were relevant?

Next, the team will review and revise the SOPs in light of these results.

At institutional level and community level select and identify actions that create the intended impact.

Lessons for other countries!



